UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/780,444	02/17/2004	Peter M. Bonutti	782-A03-023	2789	
	7590 04/10/200 ICO: FLEIT, KAIN, G	•	EXAMINER		
GUTMAN, BONGINI, & BIANCO P.L.			KOTINI, PAVITRA		
21355 EAST DIXIE HIGHWAY SUITE 115			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MIAMI, FL 33180 3731					
		·			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 D.	AYS	04/10/2007	PAP	ER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			(
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,444	BONUTTI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pavitra Kotini	3731	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a h. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tatute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication INTHIS from the mailing date of the mailing date of this communication INTHIS from the mailing date of the	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	7 February 2004.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.	.·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	•	is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	·	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)  objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bu			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.	
•			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] 1-4	Cummon (DTO 442)	
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application	
aper Hu(S)/Mail Date	o) [_] Ouler:	<del></del> ·	

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-42, drawn to a suture retainer, classified in class 606, subclass
   232.
- II. Claims 43-48, drawn to a method of securing a secure relative to body tissue, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions group II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus such as a staple or clip.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required

Application/Control Number: 10/780,444 Page 3

Art Unit: 3731

because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. The species as best exemplified by figure 1
- II. The species as best exemplified by figure 6
- III. The species as best exemplified by figure 7
- IV. The species as best exemplified by figure 9
- V. The species as best exemplified by figure 14
- VI. The species as best exemplified by figure 21
- VII The species as best exemplified by figure 30B
- VIII. The species as best exemplified by figure 31
- IX. The species as best exemplified by figure 32
- X. The species as best exemplified by figure 33B
- XI. The species as best exemplified by figure 34A
- XII. The species as best exemplified by figure 35A
- XIII. The species as best exemplified by figures 36A-C
- XIV. The species as best exemplified by figure 37A
- XV. The species as best exemplified by figure 38A
- XVI. The species as best exemplified by figure 39A
- XVII. The species as best exemplified by figure 42

The species are independent or distinct because the inventions have a materially different design or mode of operation.

Applicant is <u>also</u> required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

A telephone call was made to Attorney Bianco on April 2, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,444

Art Unit: 3731

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Page 5

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pavitra Kotini whose telephone number is 571-272-0624. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/780,444 Page 6

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

P.Kotini AU 3731.

> MICHAEL J. HAYES SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER